## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	v. Jose Garza-Ramirez Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00186-PLM			
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform efendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findir	ngs of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31560 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.			
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	ı years or more is prescribed in:			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been countries. Some state of U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.			
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve a minor victim				
	the possession or use of a firearm or d a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	е		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	ιе		
(4)					
	Alternative Fi	indings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	s committed an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)				
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t		ns		
<b>1</b> (1)	Alternative Fi				
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the				
(2)	Part II – Statement of the				
		etention hearing establishes by clear and convincing			
1. Defen	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not				

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 26, 2012	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Ca	rmody	
<del>.</del>		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmo	Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	